# Rational Interpolation to $e^x$

PETER B. BORWEIN\*

Department of Mathematics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4H8, Canada

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received April 20, 1981

### 1. Introduction

We derive estimates for the error in interpolating  $e^x$  by rational functions of degree n on intervals of length less than two. Let  $\pi_n$  denote the class of all polynomials of degree at most n with real coefficients. Our main result is the following:

THEOREM 1. Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{2n+1}$  be points (not necessarily distinct) in  $[0, \alpha]$ , where  $\alpha < 2$ . Choose  $P_n, Q_n \in \pi_n$  so that

$$P_n(\gamma_i) - Q_n(\gamma_i) e^{-\gamma_i} = 0$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, ..., 2n + 1$ .

Then, for  $x \in [0, \alpha]$ ,

$$|P_n(x)/Q_n(x) - e^{-x}| \le \left(\frac{2e\sqrt{n}\,e^{2\sqrt{\alpha n}}}{2-\alpha}\right) \frac{n!(n+1)!}{(2n)!(2n+1)!} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} (x-\gamma_i) \right|.$$

Furthermore,  $Q_n$  has positive coefficients.

Let

$$\lambda_{m,n}[a,b] = \min_{p \in \pi_m, q \in \pi_n} ||e^x - p_m(x)/q_n(x)||_{[a,b]},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the supremum norm on [a, b].

The following conjecture was made by G. Meinardus in 1964.

\* Supported by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

142

0021-9045/82/060142-06\$02.00/0 Copyright © 1982 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. CONJECTURE [3, p. 168].

$$\lambda_{m,n}[-1,1] = \frac{m! \ n!}{2^{m+n}(m+n)!(m+n+1)!} (1+o(1)).$$

D. J. Newman, through some clever manipulation of the Padé approximant, has recently proved

THEOREM A [5, p. 24].

$$\lambda_{m,n}[-1,1] \leqslant \frac{8m! \ n!}{2^{m+n}(m+n)!(m+n+1)!}.$$

G. Németh ([4], see also Braess [1]) has shown

THEOREM B.

$$\lambda_{n,n}[-1,1] = \frac{n! \, n!}{4^n (2n)! (2n+1)!} \, (1+O(1)).$$

If we choose the  $\gamma_i$  in Theorem 1 to be the zeros of the (2n+1)st Chebyshev polynomial (shifted to  $[0,\alpha]$ ) then we see that, up to the "slowly growing"  $e^{2\sqrt{\alpha n}}$  term, we get essentially the right order of approximation. In light of Theorems A and B it seems plausible that the initial bracketed term of the error estimate is superfluous.

#### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Suppose that  $P_n$ ,  $Q_n \in \pi_n$  and suppose that  $P_n(x) - Q_n(x) e^{-x}$  has 2n + 1 zeros on the interval  $[0, \alpha]$ . If  $Q_n(x) = q_0 + q_1 x + \cdots + q_n x^n$  then

$$(P_{n}(x) - Q_{n}(x) e^{-x})^{(n+1)}$$

$$= (Q_{n}(x) e^{-x})^{(n+1)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n+1 \choose k} Q_{n}^{(k)} e^{-x} (-1)^{(n+1-k)}$$

$$= (-1)^{n+1} e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} {n+1 \choose j} (-1)^{j} (k+j)! q_{k+j}.$$
 (1)

Since  $(Q_n(x)e^{-x})^{(n+1)}$  has n zeros on  $[0,\alpha]$ , we deduce that there exist  $\beta_1,...,\beta_n\in[0,\alpha]$  so that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} {n+1 \choose j} (-1)^{j} (k+j)! \ q_{k+j} = q_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x-\beta_{i}).$$

Thus, if  $q_n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \beta_i) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_n x^n$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \binom{n+1}{0}, -\binom{n+1}{1}, +\binom{n+1}{2}, \dots, & (-1)^n & \binom{n+1}{n} \\ 0, & \binom{n+1}{0}, -\binom{n+1}{1}, \dots, & (-1)^{n-1} & \binom{n+1}{n-1} \\ 0, & 0, & \binom{n+1}{0}, & \dots, & (-1)^{n-2} & \binom{n+1}{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0, & 0, & 0, & \dots, & \binom{n+1}{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_0 & 0! \\ q_1 & 1! \\ q_2 & 2! \\ \vdots \\ q_n & n! \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & 0! \\ b_1 & 1! \\ b_2 & 2! \\ \vdots \\ b_n & n! \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(2)$$

We can invert (2) to obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \binom{n}{n}, \binom{n+1}{n}, \binom{n+2}{n}, \dots, \binom{2n}{n} \\ 0, \binom{n}{n}, \binom{n+1}{n}, \dots, \binom{2n-1}{n} \\ 0, 0, \binom{n}{n}, \dots, \binom{2n-1}{n} \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ 0, 0, 0, \dots, \binom{n}{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_0 0! \\ b_1 1! \\ b_2 2! \\ \vdots \\ b_n n! \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_0 0! \\ q_1 1! \\ \vdots \\ q_n n! \end{bmatrix}.$$
(3)

We observe that (3) can be easily derived from (2) combined with the facts that the (m, n) Padé approximant to  $e^{-x}$  is given by

$$\sum_{v=0}^{m} \frac{\binom{m}{v}}{\binom{m+n}{v}} \frac{(-x)^{v}}{v!} \Big/ \sum_{v=0}^{n} \frac{\binom{n}{v}}{\binom{n+m}{v}} \frac{x^{v}}{v!}.$$

and that for the Padé approximant  $b_0 = b_1 = \cdots = b_{n-1} = 0$ . We are now in a position to prove the following:

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $P_n(x) \in \pi_n$  and suppose that  $Q_n = q_0 +$ 

 $q_1x+\cdots+q_nx^n$ , where  $q_0>0$ . Suppose also that  $P_n(x)-Q_n(x)e^{-x}$  has 2n+1 zeros at  $\gamma_1,...,\gamma_{2n+1}\in [0,\alpha]$ . Then, if  $\alpha<2$ ,  $Q_n$  has positive coefficients and

$$q_n \leqslant \left(\frac{2}{2-\alpha}\right) \frac{n!}{(2n)!} q_0.$$

*Proof.* The first part follows from an examination of (3) using the facts that for  $i \leq n$ ,

$$(i-1)! |b_{i-1}| \leqslant \alpha(i!) |b_i|$$
 and  $\binom{n+i-1}{n} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \binom{n+i}{n}$ .

The second part is proved by noting that

$$\begin{split} q_0 &\geqslant n! \; |b_n| \; \binom{2n}{n} - (n-1)! \; |b_{n-1}| \; \binom{2n-1}{n} \\ &\geqslant \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \; q_n. \quad \blacksquare \end{split}$$

The next lemma is a slight adaptation of a result of S. N. Bernstein [2, p. 38].

LEMMA 2. Suppose that f and g are m+1 times continuously differentiable on [a,b] and suppose that f(x)=g(x)=0 has m+1 solutions on [a,b]. If

$$|f^{(m+1)}(x)| \le g^{(m+1)}(x)$$
 for  $x \in [a, b]$ 

then

$$|f(x)| \le |g(x)|$$
 for  $x \in [a, b]$ .

LEMMA 3 [3, pp. 16 and 165]. (a) If  $\gamma_1,...,\gamma_{m+n+1} \in [a,b]$  then there exist  $P_m \in \pi_m$ ,  $Q_n \in \pi_n$ , so that

$$P_m(\gamma_i) - Q_n(\gamma_i) e^{-\gamma_i} = 0$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n + m + 1$ .

(b) If 
$$P_m^* \in \pi_m$$
,  $Q_n^* \in \pi_n$  and

$$\|e^{-x} - P_m^*/Q_n^*\|_{[a,b]} = \min_{P_m \in \pi_m, Q_n \in \pi_m} \|e^{-x} - P_m/Q_n\|_{[a,b]}$$

then  $P_m^*/Q_n^*$  interpolates  $e^{-x}$  at exactly n+m+1 points in [a,b].

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Lemma 3 guarantees the existence of  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  with the desired interpolation property. We may assume that

$$Q_n(x) = q_0 + \cdots + q_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^n.$$

Then, as in (1), there exist  $\beta_1,...,\beta_n \in [0, \alpha]$  so that

$$(Q_n(x) e^{-x})^{(n+1)} = (-1)^{n+1} e^{-x} \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \beta_i)$$
$$= (-1)^{n+1} e^{-x} R_n(x).$$

Hence,

$$(Q_n(x) e^{-x})^{(2n+1)} = (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k e^{-x} R_n^{(n-k)}(x).$$

Since  $R_n^{(n-k)}(x) = n!/k! \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \rho_{i,k})$ , where  $\rho_{i,k} \in [0, \alpha]$ , we have

$$|(Q_n(x) e^{-x})^{(2n+1)}| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{n!}{k!} \alpha^k$$
  
$$\leq n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n! \alpha^k}{k! k! (n-k)!}.$$

By Stirling's formula,  $n^n e^{-n} < n! < e \sqrt{n} n^n e^{-n}$ ,

$$\frac{n! \ \alpha^k}{k! \ k! (n-k)!} \leqslant \frac{e \sqrt{n} \ \alpha^k e^k n^n}{k^k k^k (n-k)^{n-k}}$$

$$= e \sqrt{n} \ \alpha^k e^k \frac{n^k}{k^{2k}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{n-k}\right)^{n-k}$$

$$\leqslant e \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\alpha e^2 n}{k^2}\right)^k.$$

A little elementary calculus reveals that  $(\alpha e^2 n/k^2)^k$  has a maximum at  $k = \sqrt{\alpha n}$  and hence,

$$|(Q_n(x) e^{-x})^{(2n+1)}| \le (n+1)! e^{\sqrt{n}} e^{2\sqrt{\alpha n}}.$$

We apply Lemma 2 using m = 2n + 1,

$$f(x) = P_n(x) - Q_n(x) e^{-x},$$

and

$$g(x) = e \sqrt{n} e^{2\sqrt{\alpha n}} \frac{(n+1)!}{(2n+1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} (x - \gamma_i)$$

and deduce that for  $x \in [0, \alpha]$ ,

$$|P_n(x) - Q_n(x) e^{-x}| \le e \sqrt{n} e^{2\sqrt{\alpha n}} \frac{(n+1)!}{(2n+1)!} \left| \prod_{i=1}^{2n+1} (x-\gamma_i) \right|.$$

We complete the result by appealing to Lemma 1 to show that for  $x \ge 0$ ,

$$Q_n(x) \geqslant q_0 \geqslant \frac{(2-\alpha)}{2} \frac{(2n)!}{n!}$$
.

The (1,1) Padé approximant to  $e^{-x}$  has denominator  $Q(x)=1+\frac{1}{2}x$ . It follows that the (1,1) rational function that interpolates  $e^{-x}$  with multiplicity three at any point  $\beta$  will have denominator  $Q_{\beta}(x)=1+\frac{1}{2}(x-\beta)$ . In particular if  $\beta \geqslant 2$  then  $Q_{\beta}$  does not have positive coefficients. This shows that  $\alpha < 2$  is essential, at least for the n=1 case, in Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. Braess, On the conjecture of Meinardus on rational approximation of  $e^x$ , J. Approx.

  Theory.
- 2. G. G. LORENTZ, "Approximation of Functions," Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York, 1966
- 3. G. MEINARDUS, "Approximation of Functions: Theory and Numerical Methods," Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1967.
- 4. G. NÉMETH, Relative rational approximation of the function e<sup>x</sup>, Math. Notes 21 (1977), 325-328.
- D. J. NEWMAN, "Approximation with Rational Functions," American Mathematical Society, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, No. 41, 1979.