

# HIGHER ALBANESE MANIFOLDS

RICHARD HAIN

Goal: Suppose  $X$  is a smooth variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $x \in X$ . We want to make a tower

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Alb}^3(X, x) \\
 & \nearrow^{\theta_3} & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Alb}^2(X, x) \\
 & \nearrow^{\theta_2} & \downarrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\theta_1} & \text{Alb}^1(X, x)
 \end{array}$$

where  $\text{Alb}^1(X, x)$  is the classical Albanese variety of  $X$ .<sup>1</sup>

## 1. UNIPOTENT COMPLETION

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0. A *unipotent group* is a closed subgroup of the subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(k)$  consisting of upper triangular matrices with 1s on the diagonal. Unipotent groups correspond to nilpotent Lie algebras via the logarithm and exponential maps, which are polynomial bijections.

Define the pro-unipotent group

$$\Gamma_{/k}^{\text{un}} := \varprojlim_{\substack{\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow U(k) \\ \text{Zariski dense} \\ U \text{ unipotent}}} U.$$

It is also  $\pi_1$  of the Tannakian category of unipotent representations of  $\Gamma$  over  $k$ .

Define the pro-nilpotent Lie algebra

$$\text{Lie}(\Gamma_{/k}^{\text{un}}) := \varprojlim \text{Lie}(U).$$

A homomorphism  $\Gamma \rightarrow U(k)$  from  $\Gamma$  to the  $k$ -points of a unipotent  $k$ -group  $U$  induces a homomorphism  $\Gamma_{/k}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow U$ . The original representation factors  $\Gamma \rightarrow U(k) \rightarrow \Gamma_{/k}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\text{un}}(k)$ .

Let  $J$  be the kernel of the augmentation map  $k\Gamma \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k$  sending each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  to 1. Define

$$(k\Gamma)^\wedge := \varprojlim_r (k\Gamma/J^r).$$

Then

$$\Gamma_{/k}^{\text{un}} = \{x \in (k\Gamma)^\wedge : \Delta x = x \otimes x\} - \{0\}.$$

---

*Date:* February 5, 2007.

<sup>1</sup>The original reference is *Unipotent variations of mixed Hodge structure*: Hain-Zucker, *Invent. Math.* 88 (1987).

Base change: if  $K/k$  is any field extension, then  $\Gamma_K^{\text{un}} = \Gamma_k^{\text{un}} \otimes_k K$ . In particular,  $\Gamma_k^{\text{un}} = \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{un}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} k$ .

**Example 1.1.** If  $\Gamma$  is the free group  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ , then  $x_j \mapsto \exp(X_j)$  defines a map  $\Gamma$  to  $\mathbb{Q}\langle\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle\rangle$ , which contains the completed free Lie algebra  $\mathbb{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)^\wedge$ . Then  $\text{Lie } \Gamma_{/\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{un}} = \mathbb{L}(X_1, \dots, X_n)^\wedge$ .

**Example 1.2.**

$$\text{Lie}(\pi_1^{\text{un}}(\text{genus-}g \text{ curve}, *)) = \mathbb{L}(A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_g)^\wedge / \left( \sum_{j=1}^g [A_j, B_j] \right)$$

## 2. PROFINITE CASE

Let  $\Gamma$  be profinite. Let  $\ell$  be a prime number. Then

$$\Gamma_{/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\text{cts,un}} := \varprojlim_{\substack{\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow U(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \\ \text{cts, Zariski dense} \\ U \text{ unipotent}}} U.$$

Fact: If  $\Gamma$  is discrete, then

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\text{cts,un}} = \Gamma_{/\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\text{un}}.$$

## 3. DE RHAM VERSION

Chen's iterated integral:<sup>2</sup> Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \in E^1(M)$  (smooth 1-forms). Let  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  be a piecewise smooth path. Chen defined

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r = \int \cdots \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_r \leq 1} f_1(t_1) \cdots f_r(t_r) dt_1 \cdots dt_r$$

where  $\gamma^* \omega_j = f_j(t) dt$ .

*Remark 3.1.* This works equally well for  $\omega_j \in E^1(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} A$  for any associative algebra  $A$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $M = \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \frac{dz}{1-z} \frac{dz}{z} &= \int_0^x \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n dz \right) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \int_0^x \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \right) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^2} \Big|_0^x \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^2} \\ &= \ln_2 x, \end{aligned}$$

the dilogarithm function.

<sup>2</sup>Basic reference: K.-T. Chen, Bull. AMS, 1977. See R. Hain, *The geometry of the MHS on the fundamental group*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 46 (1987) for an introduction.

**Proposition 3.3.** *For loops  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  starting at the same point,*

$$\int_{\gamma\mu\gamma^{-1}\mu^{-1}} \omega_1\omega_2 = \left| \begin{array}{cc} \int_{\gamma} \omega_1 & \int_{\gamma} \omega_2 \\ \int_{\mu} \omega_1 & \int_{\mu} \omega_2 \end{array} \right|$$

For fixed  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ , we may view

$$\int \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r$$

as a function  $PM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on the path space or  $P_{x,x}M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  on the loop space.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)$  be the  $\mathbb{R}$ -span of  $\{\int \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r : P_{x,x}M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ .

The space  $\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)$  is a Hopf algebra, with operations inspired by the following identities:

Product:

$$\int_{\alpha} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r \int_{\alpha} \omega_{r+1} \cdots \omega_{r+s} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(r,s)} \int_{\alpha} \omega_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \omega_{\sigma(r+s)}$$

where  $\text{Sh}(r, s)$  is the set of permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, r+s\}$  such that  $\sigma^{-1}(1) < \dots < \sigma^{-1}(r)$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(r+1) < \dots < \sigma^{-1}(r+s)$  (with the convention that  $\int_{\gamma} \phi_1 \cdots \phi_s = 1$  if  $s = 0$ ).

Coproduct:

$$\int_{\alpha\beta} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r = \sum_{j=0}^r \int_{\alpha} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_j \int_{\beta} \omega_{j+1} \cdots \omega_r.$$

Antipode:

$$\int_{\gamma^{-1}} \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r = (-1)^r \int_{\gamma} \omega_r \cdots \omega_1.$$

**Definition 3.5.**  $F : P_{x,x}M \rightarrow A$  is a *homotopy functional* if all pairs of homotopic paths  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  (in which the homotopy does not move the endpoints) satisfy  $F(\gamma_0) = F(\gamma_1)$ .

Let  $H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  be the subspace of homotopy functionals. This is a Hopf subalgebra, so  $\text{Spec } H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  is a group scheme over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $L_r H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  be the span of the elements of  $H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  of length  $\leq r$ . Since the diagonal preserves the length filtration

$$\Delta : L_r H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)) \rightarrow \sum_{s+t=r} L_s H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)) \otimes L_t H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)).$$

This implies that  $L_r H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  is a pro-unipotent group.

Let  $\pi_1^{\text{un}}(M, x)_{/\mathbb{R}}$  be  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{un}}$  where  $\Gamma := \pi_1(M, x)$ . By the product identity given above, there is an ‘‘integration’’ map

$$\pi_1(M, x) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-algebras}}(H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)), \mathbb{R}) = (\text{Spec } H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))) (\mathbb{R}).$$

With the group structure on the right hand side induced by the comultiplication and antipode, this map is a group homomorphism. It induces a homomorphism of pro-algebraic groups

$$\pi_1^{\text{un}}(M, x)_{/\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)).$$

**Theorem 3.6** (Chen). *The following three equivalent statements hold:*

- (1)  $\pi_1^{\text{un}}(M, x)_{/\mathbb{R}} = \text{Spec } H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  (i.e., the homomorphism just constructed is an isomorphism).

- (2)  $\mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{\text{un}}(M, x)_{/\mathbb{R}}) = H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  as Hopf algebras.  
(3) Let  $L_r H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M))$  be the space of iterated integrals of length  $\leq r$ . Integration gives an isomorphism

$$L_r H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}M)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-vector spaces}} \left( \frac{\mathbb{R}\pi_1(M, x)}{J^{r+1}}, \mathbb{R} \right).$$

Now suppose that  $X$  is a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $E^\bullet(X) = \bigoplus E^{p,q}(X)$  be the  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued smooth forms on  $X$ . Let  $F^p E^\bullet(X) = \bigoplus_{s \geq p} E^{s,\bullet}(X)$ . This extends to define a Hodge filtration of  $\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X)$ : namely,  $F^p \text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X)$  is defined as the span of  $\int \omega_1 \cdots \omega_r$  with  $\omega_j \in F^{p_j}$  and  $\sum p_j \geq p$ .

When  $X$  is the complement of a normal crossings divisor  $D$  in a smooth projective variety  $Y$ , then one has the  $C^\infty$  log complex  $\bigoplus E^{p,q}(Y \log D)$ , where

$$E^{p,q}(Y \log D) := H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^p(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y^{0,p}).$$

It is a fact that every element of  $H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X))$  can be represented by iterated integrals of elements of  $E^\bullet(Y \log D)$ . The Hodge filtration of  $H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X))$  is defined using the Hodge filtration of  $E^\bullet(Y \log D)$  as in the projective case.

**Example 3.7.** We have  $\int \frac{dz}{1-z} \frac{dz}{z} \in F^2$  and  $\int d\bar{z} dz \in F^1$ .

This restricts to define a Hodge filtration of  $H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X))$  compatible with product, coproduct, and antipode.

**Theorem 3.8.** *This is part of the natural mixed Hodge structure on  $\pi_1^{\text{un}}(X, x)$ . (The weight filtration is  $L^\bullet$  when  $X$  is smooth and projective.)*

The Lie algebra of  $\pi_1^{\text{un}}(X, x)$  is the dual of  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}(\pi_1^{\text{un}}(X, x)) = H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X))$  corresponding to evaluation at the trivial loop. The bracket is dual to the ‘‘cobracket’’  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , which is the linear map induced by

$$\Delta - \tau \circ \Delta : H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X)) \rightarrow H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X)) \otimes H^0(\text{Ch}(P_{x,x}X)),$$

where  $\tau(f \otimes g) = g \otimes f$ . This leads to a Hodge filtration on  $\text{Lie } \pi_1(X, x)$  compatible with  $[\ , \ ]$  (this means that  $[F^p, F^q] \subseteq F^{p+q}$ ). On  $\text{Lie } \pi_1(X, x)$

$$\cdots \supseteq F^{-3} \supseteq F^{-2} \supseteq F^{-1} \supseteq F^0 \supseteq F^1 = 0.$$

Let

$$G = \pi_1^{\text{un}}(X, x)_{/\mathbb{C}} \\ \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G.$$

Then  $F^0 \mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie subalgebra. So we have a subgroup  $F^0 G$  of  $G$ .

#### 4. HIGHER ALBANESE MANIFOLDS

We have  $\pi_1(X, x) \xrightarrow{\rho} G \supseteq F^0 G$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the image of  $\rho$ .

**Definition 4.1.**

$$\text{Alb}(X, x) = \Gamma \backslash G / F^0 G.$$

Let  $L^r \Gamma$  be the  $r$ -th term of the lower central series of  $\Gamma$ ; i.e.,  $\Gamma = L^1 \Gamma \supseteq L^2 \Gamma \supseteq \cdots$  where  $L^{i+1} \Gamma = [L^i \Gamma, \Gamma]$ . For each  $r$ , define

$$G_r = G / L^{r+1} G,$$

define  $\Gamma_r$  as the image of  $\pi_1(X, x)$  in  $G_r$ , and define

$$\text{Alb}^r(X, x) = \Gamma_r \backslash G_r / F^0 G_r.$$

In general, these are not algebraic except when  $r = 1$ .  $\text{Alb}(X, x)$  should be considered as the inverse limit of the  $\text{Alb}^r(X, x)$ .

**Example 4.2.** If  $r = 1$ , then  $G_1 = H_1(X; \mathbb{C}) = H^{-1,0} \oplus H^{0,-1}$ . Then  $F^{-1} = H^{-1,0} \oplus H^{0,-1}$  and  $F^0 = H^{0,-1}$ . We have

$$G_1 / F^0 = H^{-1,0} = H^0(\Omega_X^1)^*$$

and

$$\Gamma_1 = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \text{torsion}$$

so

$$\text{Alb}^1 = H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash H^0(\Omega_X^1)^*.$$

**Example 4.3.** Let  $A$  be a principally polarized abelian variety. Let  $\Theta$  be the  $\theta$ -divisor. Assume that  $\Theta$  is irreducible and  $0 \notin \Theta$ . Let  $X = A - \Theta$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the line bundle corresponding to the line sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_A(\Theta)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}^*$  be  $\mathcal{L}$  minus the zero section. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{L}^* = \text{Alb}^2(X, 0) & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & A = \text{Alb}^1(X, 0) \end{array}$$

## 5. HIGHER ALBANESE MAPPINGS

Denote  $\text{Lie}(\pi_1^{\text{un}}(X, x)(\mathbb{C}))$  by  $\mathfrak{g}$ . This is a quotient of the completion of the free complete Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}(H_1(X))^{\wedge}$  generated by  $H_1(X; \mathbb{C})$ . For simplicity, suppose that  $X$  is projective. Then

$$H_1(X; \mathbb{C}) = H^{-1,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,-1}(X).$$

Let  $\{W'_j\}$  be a basis of  $H^{-1,0}(X)$  and  $\{W''_j\}$  be the complex conjugate basis of  $H^{0,-1}$ . Let  $\{w_j\}$  be the dual basis of  $H^0(\Omega_X^1)$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *There is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form*

$$\omega \in F^0(E^1(X) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g})$$

and which is congruent to

$$\sum_j w_j W'_j + \bar{w}_j W''_j \text{ mod } [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$$

and satisfies the integrability condition

$$d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] = 0.$$

When  $X$  is not compact, then one has  $\omega \in W_{-1} F^0(E^1(X) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g})$ . These statements are proved in *Higher Albanese Manifolds*, R. Hain, LNM 1246, 1987.

Define

$$T = 1 + \int \omega + \int \omega \omega + \int \omega \omega \omega + \cdots$$

This is a  $\widehat{U}\mathfrak{g}$ -valued iterated integral. The integrability condition implies that  $T$  is a homotopy functional on  $PX$ . For all  $\gamma \in PX$ ,  $T(\gamma) \in \exp \mathfrak{g} = G$ .

The Albanese mapping  $\theta : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}(X, x)$  is given by

$$\theta(y) = T(\gamma) \in \Gamma \backslash G / F^0.$$

It is clearly well defined as  $T$  is a homotopy functional and we have taken the quotient by  $\Gamma$ . On the space of paths that begin at  $x$  we have  $dT = T\omega$ . This and the fact that  $\omega \in \mathbb{F}^0(E^1(X) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g})$ ,  $\theta$  imply that  $\theta$  is holomorphic. One can show that  $\theta$  is independent of the choice of  $\omega$ .

Truncating this construction by  $L_r$  gives the tower:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Alb}^3(X, x) \\
 & \nearrow^{\theta_3} & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Alb}^2(X, x) \\
 & \nearrow^{\theta_2} & \downarrow \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\theta_1} & \text{Alb}^1(X, x).
 \end{array}$$

## 6. ALGEBRAIC APPROACH

The constructions above use smooth forms. There is also a version of Chen's  $\pi_1$ -de Rham Theorem that uses only iterated integrals of algebraic 1-forms. This is sketched in *Iterated Integrals and Algebraic Cycles: Examples and Prospects*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 5, World Scientific, 2002. The Hodge filtration should correspond to a "pole filtration," but this has yet to be worked out.

A different version of the algebraic de Rham theorem given in the same paper allows one to prove that if  $X$  is defined over  $F$  and  $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , and  $x \in X(F)$ , then the Hopf algebra  $H^0(\text{Ch } P_{x,x} X)$  has a natural  $F$ -structure, and the Hodge filtration is defined over  $F$ .