

**PRECISE ESTIMATES ON THE  
MULTIPLICITY OF ROOTS  
OF CERTAIN POLYNOMIALS.**

PETER BORWEIN

Simon Fraser University  
Centre for Experimental and  
Constructive Mathematics

<http://www.cecm.sfu.ca/~pborwein/>

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\text{T}\text{E}\text{X}$

We consider the problem of minimizing the uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$  over polynomials  $p$

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=m}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_j| \leq 1, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

with fixed  $|a_m| \neq 0$ .

This is equivalent to the question of how many zeros such a polynomial can have at 1.

Particular cases include:

Polynomials with coefficients in the set  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ .

Polynomials with coefficients in the set  $\{0, 1\}$  on the interval  $[-1, 0]$ .

$$\mathcal{P}_n := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i : a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_n := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i : a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_n := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i : a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i : a_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

So obviously

$$\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{Z}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_n.$$

## 2. NUMBER OF ZEROS AT 1

**Theorem 2.1.** *There is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that every polynomial  $p$  of the form*

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_j| \leq 1, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

*has at most*

$$c(n(1 - \log |a_0|))^{1/2}$$

*zeros at 1.*

Applying Theorem 2.1 with  $q(x) := x^{-n}p(x^{-1})$  gives the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** *There is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that every polynomial  $p$  of the form*

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_j| \leq 1, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

*has at most*

$$c(n(1 - \log |a_n|))^{1/2}$$

*zeros at 1.*

Sharpness of the above theorems, up to constants, is shown by the next result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *It  $\exp(-3n) \leq |a_0| \leq 1$ , then there exists a polynomial  $p$  of the form*

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_j| \leq 1, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

*such that  $p$  has a zero at 1 with multiplicity at least*

$$\frac{1}{5}(n(1 - \log |a_0|))^{1/2} - 1.$$

The next two theorems treat the case  $a_0 = 1$ . The proofs are attractive and we will work through them. (As time allows.)

**Theorem 2.4.** *Every polynomial  $p$  of the form*

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_n| = 1, \quad |a_j| \leq 1$$

*has at most  $5\sqrt{n}$  zeros at 1.*

**Theorem 2.5.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists*

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n^2} a_j x^j$$

*such that  $a_{2n^2} = 1$ ;  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2n^2-1}$  are real numbers of modulus less than 1; and  $p_n$  has a zero at 1 with multiplicity at least  $n$ .*

Theorem 2.5 immediately implies

**Corollary 2.6.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a polynomial*

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad a_n = 1,$$

*$a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  are real numbers of modulus less than 1, and  $p_n$  has a zero at 1 with multiplicity at least  $\lfloor \sqrt{n/2} \rfloor$ .*

The next related result is well known:



**Theorem 2.7.** *There is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  so that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a  $p \in \mathcal{F}_n$  having at least  $c\sqrt{n/\log(n+1)}$  zeros at 1.*

Theorems 2.4 and 2.7 show that the right upper bound for the number of zeros a polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{F}_n$  can have at 1 is somewhere between  $c_1\sqrt{n/\log(n+1)}$  and  $c_2\sqrt{n}$  with absolute constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$ .

This gap looks quite hard to close.

Our final result in this section is a simple observation about the maximal number of zeros a polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{A}_n$  can have.

**Theorem 2.8.** *There is an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that every  $p \in \mathcal{A}_n$  has at most  $c \log n$  zeros at  $-1$ .*

**Remark to Theorem 2.8.** Let  $R_n$  be defined by

$$R_n(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x^{a_i}),$$

where  $a_1 := 1$  and  $a_{i+1}$  is the smallest odd integer that is greater than  $\sum_{k=1}^i a_k$ .

It is tempting to speculate that  $R_n$  is the lowest degree polynomial with coefficients  $\{0, 1\}$  and a zero of order  $n$  at  $-1$ .

This is true for  $n := 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  but fails for  $n := 6$  and hence for all larger  $n$ .

### 3. RESTRICTED CHEBYSHEV PROBLEM

**Theorem 3.1.** *There are absolute constants so that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left( -c_1 n (1 - \log |a_m|) \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \inf_p \|p\|_{[0,1]} \\ & \leq \exp \left( -c_2 n (1 - \log |a_m|) \right)^{1/2} , \end{aligned}$$

where the inf is taken over  $0 \neq p$  of the form

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=m}^n a_j x^j , \quad |a_j| \leq 1 , \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

with  $|a_m| \geq \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 - n) \right)$  .

This specializes to

**Theorem 3.2.** *There are absolute constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\exp(-c_1\sqrt{n}) \leq \inf_p \|p\|_{[0,1]} \leq \exp(-c_2\sqrt{n}) ,$$

*for polynomials of the form*

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j , \quad |a_j| \leq 1 , \quad a_n = 1 .$$

For the class  $\mathcal{F}_n$  we have

**Theorem 3.3.** *There are absolute constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-c_1\sqrt{n}) \\ & \leq \inf_{0 \neq p \in \mathcal{F}_n} \|p\|_{[0,1]} \\ & \leq \exp\left(-c_2\sqrt{n}(\log(n+1))^{-1/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The approximation rate in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 should be compared with

$$\min_{p(x) := x^n + \dots \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|p\|_{[0,1]}^{1/n} = \frac{2^{1/n}}{4},$$

and also with

$$\frac{1}{2.376\dots} < \min_{0 \neq p \in \mathcal{Z}_n} \|p\|_{[0,1]}^{1/n} < \frac{1 + o(1)}{2.3605}.$$

The first equality above is attained by the normalized Chebyshev polynomial shifted linearly to  $[0, 1]$  and is proved by a simple perturbation argument. The second inequality is much harder (the exact result is open).

It is an interesting fact that the polynomials  $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{Z}_n$  with the smallest uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$  are very different from the usual Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $n$ .

For example, they have at least 52% of their zeros at either 0 or 1. Relaxation techniques do not allow for their approximate computation.

Likewise, polynomials  $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{F}_n$  with small uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$  are again quite different from polynomials  $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{Z}_n$  with small uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$ .



The story is roughly as follows. Polynomials  $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{P}_n$  with leading coefficient 1 and with smallest possible uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$  are characterized by equioscillation and are given by the Chebyshev polynomials explicitly.

In contrast, finding polynomials from  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  with small uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$  is closely related to finding irreducible polynomials with all their roots in  $[0, 1]$ .

As we shall see the construction of small norm polynomials from  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is governed by how many zeros such a polynomial can have at 1.

It is interesting to note that the polynomials  $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{P}_n$  with leading coefficient 1 and with smallest uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$  have coefficients that alternate in sign.

This also appears to be true for the analogous polynomials from  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  (though this is only conjectural and probably quite hard to prove).

This is quite different from the story for  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . We show that for polynomials  $p(-x)$  with  $0 \neq p \in \mathcal{A}_n$  we get a very much larger smallest possible uniform norm on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *There are absolute constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(-c_1 \log^2(n+1)) \\ & \leq \inf_{0 \neq p \in \mathcal{A}_n} \|p(-x)\|_{[0,1]} \\ & \leq \exp(-c_2 \log^2(n+1)) \end{aligned}$$

## 4. TOOLS

In the general case the tools are:

Denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the collection of all analytic functions  $f$  on the open unit disk  $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  that satisfy

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |z|}, \quad z \in D.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *There are absolute constants  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  such that*

$$|f(0)|^{c_1/a} \leq \exp\left(\frac{c_2}{a}\right) \|f\|_{[1-a,1]}$$

*for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $a \in (0, 1]$ .*

**Hadamard Three Circles Theorem.** *Suppose  $f$  is regular. Let  $M(r) := \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . Then for  $r_1 < r < r_2$*

$$M(r)^{\log(r_2/r_1)} \leq M(r_1)^{\log(r_2/r)} M(r_2)^{\log(r/r_1)}.$$

**Halász Lemma.** *For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a polynomial  $h \in \mathcal{P}_k^c$  such that*

$$h(0) = 1, \quad h(1) = 0, \quad |h(z)| < \exp\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)$$

*for  $|z| \leq 1$ .*

## 5. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.4.** *Every polynomial  $p$  of the form*

$$p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j, \quad |a_n| = 1, \quad |a_j| \leq 1$$

*has at most  $5\sqrt{n}$  zeros at 1.*

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* If  $p$  has a zero at 1 of multiplicity  $m$ , then for every polynomial  $f$  of degree less than  $m$ , we have

$$(*) \quad a_0 f(0) + a_1 f(1) + \cdots + a_n f(n) = 0.$$

We construct a polynomial  $f$  of degree at most  $5\sqrt{n}$ , for which

$$f(n) > |f(0)| + |f(1)| + \cdots + |f(n-1)|.$$

Equality (\*) cannot hold with this  $f$ , so the multiplicity of the zero of  $p$  at 1 is at most the degree of  $f$ .

Let  $T_\nu$  be the  $\nu$ -th Chebyshev poly. Let

$$g := T_0 + T_1 + \cdots + T_k \in \mathcal{P}_k.$$

Note that  $g(1) = k + 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} g(\cos y) &= 1 + \cos y + \cos 2y + \cdots + \cos ky \\ &= \frac{\sin(k + \frac{1}{2})y + \sin \frac{1}{2}y}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}y}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $-1 \leq x < 1$ ,

$$|g(x)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1-x}}.$$

Let  $f(x) := g^4(\frac{2x}{n} - 1)$ . Then  $f(n) = (k+1)^4$  and

$$|f(0)| + |f(1)| + \cdots + |f(n-1)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{4}{\left(\frac{2j}{n}\right)^2} < \frac{\pi^2}{6} n^2.$$

If  $k := \lfloor (\pi^2/6)^{1/4} \sqrt{n} \rfloor$  then

$$f(n) > |f(0)| + |f(1)| + \cdots + |f(n-1)|.$$

In this case the degree of  $f$  is  $4k \leq 5\sqrt{n}$ .  $\square$



**Theorem 2.5.** *For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists*

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n^2} a_j x^j$$

*such that  $a_{2n^2} = 1$ ;  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2n^2-1}$  are real numbers of modulus less than 1; and  $p_n$  has a zero at 1 with multiplicity at least  $n$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* Define

$$L_n(x) := \frac{(n!)^2}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x^t dt}{\prod_{k=0}^n (t - k^2)}$$

where the simple closed contour  $\Gamma$  surrounds the zeros of the denominator in the integrand.

Then  $L_n$  is a polynomial of degree  $n^2$  with a zero of order  $n$  at 1.

Also, by the residue theorem,

$$L_n(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n c_{k,n} x^{k^2}$$

where

$$c_{k,n} = \frac{(n!)^2}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq k}^n (k^2 - j^2)} = \frac{(-1)^k 2(n!)^2}{(n-k)!(n+k)!}$$

It follows that

$$c_{k,n} \leq 2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Hence,

$$q_n(x) := \frac{L_n(x) + L_n(x^2)}{2}$$

is a polynomial of degree  $2n^2$  with real coefficients and with a zero of order  $n$  at 1. Also  $q_n$  has constant coefficient 1 and each of its remaining coefficients is a real number of modulus less than 1. Now let  $p_n(x) := 2x^{n^2}q_n(1/x)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.8.* Suppose  $P \in \mathcal{A}_n$  has  $m$  zeros at  $-1$ . Then  $(1+x)^m$  divides  $P$ . On evaluating the above at 1 we see that  $n \geq 2^m - 1$  and the result follows.  $\square$

## 6. COMMENTS

There is an obvious interval dependence in the problem of finding minimal elements from  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

On any interval  $[0, \delta]$  with  $\delta < 1/2$  the only polynomials from  $\mathcal{F}_n$  with minimal uniform norm are  $\pm x^n$ .

On  $[0, 1/2]$  all of  $\pm x^n$  and  $\pm(x^n - x^{n-1})$  are extremals.

On any interval  $[0, \delta]$  with  $\delta > 1/2$  the polynomials  $\pm(x^n - x^{n-1})$  work better than  $x^n$ , so the nature of the extremals change at  $1/2$ .

## REFERENCES

1. F. Amoroso, *Sur le diamètre transfini entier d'un intervalle réel*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **40** (1990), 885–911.
2. E. Aparicio, *Methods for the approximate calculation of minimum uniform Diophantine deviation from zero on a segment*, Rev. Mat. Hisp.-Amer. **38** (1978), 259–270 (Spanish).
3. E. Aparicio, *New bounds on the minimal Diophantine deviation from zero on  $[0, 1]$  and  $[0, 1/4]$* , Actus Sextas Jour. Mat. Hisp.-Lusitanas (1979), 289–291.
4. E. Bombieri and J. Vaaler, *Polynomials with low*

*height and prescribed vanishing*, in *Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Problems*, Birkhauser (1987), 53–73.

5. P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *The integer Chebyshev problem*, *Math. Comp.* (to appear).
6. P. Borwein and T. Erdélyi, *Polynomials and Polynomial Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
7. P. Borwein and C. Ingalls, *The Prouhet, Tarry, Escott problem*, *Ens. Math.* **40** (1994), 3–27.
8. Le Baron O. Ferguson, *Approximation by Polynomials with Integral Coefficients*, A.M.S., Rhode Island, 1980.

9. P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On the distribution of roots of polynomials*, Annals of Math **57** (1950), 105–119.
10. L.K. Hua, *Introduction to Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag publaddr Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
11. J-P Kahane, *Sur les polynômes á coefficients unimodulaires*, Bull. London Math. Soc **12** (1980), 321–342.
12. D.J. Newman and J. S. Byrnes, *The  $L^4$  norm of a polynomial with coefficients  $\pm 1$* , MAA Monthly **97** (1990), 42–45.
13. A. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, *Zeros of polynomials with 0,1 coefficients*, Ens. Math. **39** (1993), 317–348.

14. G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis, Volume I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
15. E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga, *On lacunary incomplete polynomials*, Math. Z. **177** (1981), 297–314.
16. P. Turán, *On a New Method of Analysis and its Applications*, Wiley, New York, 1984.