

**INTEGER CHEBYSHEV  
POLYNOMIALS**

PETER BORWEIN

Simon Fraser University

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

- Five old chestnuts.
- All involve Chebyshev type problems for polynomials with integer coefficients.
- All very hard.
- All have a highly non-trivial computational component.
- All have accessible partials?
- All very interesting.

## A. The Integer Chebyshev Problem of Hilbert and Fekete.

1. **Problem.** Find

$$C_N[\alpha, \beta] := \left( \min_{a_i \in \mathbb{Z}, a_N \neq 0} \|a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_Nx^N\|_{[\alpha, \beta]} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}}.$$

We will restrict to  $\beta - \alpha \leq 4$ .

2. One can show that

$$C[\alpha, \beta] := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} C_n[\alpha, \beta]$$

exists. This is the integer Chebyshev constant for the interval or the integer transfinite diameter.

**3.** From the non-integer case

$$C[\alpha, \beta] \geq \frac{\beta - \alpha}{4}$$

and

$$C[0, 4] = 1.$$

In no other case is  $C[\alpha, \beta]$  known.

**4.** Hilbert, Fekete (refinements by Kashin)

$$\frac{\beta - \alpha}{4} \leq C[\alpha, \beta] \leq \left( \frac{\beta - \alpha}{4} \right)^{1/2}.$$

**5.** Sanoy, Aparisio (1939, 1979)

$$\frac{1}{2.3768} \leq C[0, 1] \leq \frac{1}{2.3307}.$$

**6.** (Gelfond)

$$\frac{1}{e} \leq C[0, 1].$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_n\|_{[0,1]}^2 &\geq \int_0^1 p_n^2(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{LCM(1, \dots, 2n+1)} \geq \frac{1}{e^{2n(1+\delta)}}. \end{aligned}$$

**7.** We (T. Erdélyi and P.B.) show

$$\frac{1}{2.3768 - \epsilon} \leq C[0, 1] \leq \frac{1}{2.360}.$$

**8.** The upper bound comes first from *LLL* lattice basis reduction. Followed by refinement using the simplex method. To use *LLL* one converts to the disc and proceeds incrementally.

9. If  $p(x) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x^{67} \\
 & (x - 1)^{67} \\
 & (2x - 1)^{24} \\
 & (5x^2 - 5x + 1)^9 \\
 & (13x^3 - 19x^2 + 8x - 1) \\
 & (13x^3 - 20x^2 + 9x - 1) \\
 & (29x^4 - 59x^3 + 40x^2 - 11x + 1)^3 \\
 & (31x^4 - 61x^3 + 41x^2 - 11x + 1) \\
 & (31x^4 - 63x^3 + 44x^2 - 12x + 1) \\
 & (941x^8 - 3764x^7 + 6349x^6 - 5873x^5 \\
 & + 3243x^4 - 1089x^3 + 216x^2 - 23x + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|p(x)\|_{[0,1]} = (2.3543\dots)^{-210}.$$

**10.** The lower bound comes from

**Lemma.** *Suppose*

$$q_m(x) = a_m x^m + \dots + a_0, \quad a_m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

*has all its roots in  $(0, 1)$ . (That is:  $q_m \in TR(0, 1)$ ). Then, provided  $(q_m, p_n) = 1$*

$$\|p_n\|_{[0,1]}^{1/n} \geq \frac{1}{a_m^{1/m}}.$$

- So finding such  $q_m$  with small lead coefficients either gives factors of each Chebyshev polynomial or gives a lower bound.
- All the factors in the minimal example satisfy  $a_m^{1/m} \leq 2.6$  so they are all factors of all large integer Chebyshev polynomials on  $[0, 1]$ .

**11.** We can slightly strengthen the Aparisio/Sanoy lower bound by proving that for large  $n$  an integer Chebyshev polynomial has as a factor on  $[0, 1]$

$$x^{n/4}(1-x)^{n/4}.$$

## **12. The Small Interval Problem.**

**a]** For  $n \leq m/2e$

$$C_n[0, 1/m] = 1/m$$

and the  $n$ th integer Chebyshev polynomial on  $[0, 1/m]$  is just  $x^n$ .

**b]** However in the limit

$$1/(m+2) \leq C[0, 1/m] < 1/(m+1)$$

**c]** What is  $C[0, 1/m]$  ?



## B. The Schur, Siegel, Smyth Trace Problem.

**1. Conjecture.** Suppose

$$p_n(z) = a_n z^n + \dots + a_0, a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

has all real, positive roots and is irreducible.  
Then

$$a_{n-1} \geq 2n - 1.$$

**2. Partial.** Except for finitely many (explicit) exceptions

$$a_{n-1} \geq e^{1/2} n \quad \text{Schur (1918)}$$

$$a_{n-1} \geq (1.733\dots)n \quad \text{Siegel (1943)}$$

$$a_{n-1} \geq (1.771\dots)n \quad \text{Smyth (1983).}$$

### 3. The Relationship to the Small Interval Problem.

**Lemma.** *If*

$$C[0, 1/m] \leq 1/(m + \delta)$$

*then, for totally positive polynomials*

$$a_{n-1} \geq \delta n$$

*(with finitely many explicit exceptions).*

**Corollary.**  $\delta > 1.744$

*Proof.* By example on  $C[0, 1/100]$ .

## C. Prouhet-Tarry-Escott Problem.

### 1. Conjecture.

For any  $N$  there exists  $p \in Z[x]$  (a polynomial with integer coefficients) so that

$$p(x) = (x - 1)^N q(x) = \sum a_k x^k$$

and

$$S(p) := \sum |a_k| = 2N.$$

Almost equivalently (though not quite obviously)

$$\|p\|_{L^2\{|z|=1\}} = \sqrt{2N}.$$

## 2. The Basis for the Conjecture.

$$x^{\alpha_1} + \dots + x^{\alpha_N} - x^{\beta_1} - \dots - x^{\beta_N} = 0((x-1)^N).$$

For  $N = 2, \dots, 10$  with

$$[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N] \quad \text{and} \quad [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N]$$

$$[0, 3] = [1, 2]$$

$$[1, 2, 6] = [0, 4, 5]$$

$$[0, 4, 7, 11] = [1, 2, 9, 10]$$

$$[1, 2, 10, 14, 18] = [0, 4, 8, 16, 17]$$

$$[0, 4, 9, 17, 22, 26] = [1, 2, 12, 14, 24, 25]$$

$$[0, 18, 27, 58, 64, 89, 101]$$

$$= [1, 13, 38, 44, 75, 84, 102]$$

$$[0, 4, 9, 23, 27, 41, 46, 50]$$
$$= [1, 2, 11, 20, 30, 39, 48, 49]$$

$$[0, 24, 30, 83, 86, 133, 157, 181, 197]$$
$$= [1, 17, 41, 65, 112, 115, 168, 174, 198]$$

$$[0, 3083, 3301, 11893, 23314, 24186, 35607,$$
$$44199, 44417, 47500] =$$
$$[12, 2865, 3519, 11869, 23738, 23762, 35631,$$
$$43981, 44635, 47488]$$

- The size 10 example illustrates the problems inherent with searching for a solution.

### 3. Partial History.

- Euler
- Prouhet (1851)
- Tarry (1910) - Small Examples
- Escott (1910) - Small Examples
- Letac (1941) - Size 9 and 10
- Gloden (1946) - Size 9 and 10
- Smyth (Math Comp. 1991) - Size 10 generalized.

## 4. Diophantine Form

Find distinct integers  $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N]$  and  $[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N]$  so that

$$\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$$

$$\alpha_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_N^2 = \beta_1^2 + \dots + \beta_n^2$$

$$\vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots$$

$$\alpha_1^{N-1} + \dots + \alpha_N^{N-1} = \beta_1^{N-1} + \dots + \beta_N^{N-1}$$

## 5. Open Questions.

- The problem is completely open for  $N \geq 11$ .
- We computed extensively on  $N = 11$  to show no (symmetric) solutions of degree  $\leq 745$ .

## D. The Weak Prouhet-Tarry-Escott Problem.

**1. Problem.** For fixed  $N$  find  $p \in Z[x]$

$$p(x) = (x - 1)^N q(x) = \sum a_k x^k$$

that minimizes

$$S(p) = \sum |a_i|$$

or

$$S^2(p) = (\sum |a_i|^2)^{1/2}$$

**2.** Solving  $S(p) = |S^2(p)|^2 = 2N$  is the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott-Problem and is the big prize.



3. Showing that there exist

$$\{p_N\} = \{(x-1)^N q(x)\}$$

so that

$$S(p_N) = o(N \log N)$$

is also a big prize.

- This shows that the “Easier Waring Problem” is easier than the “Waring Problem” (At the moment.)
- That is: it requires essentially fewer powers to write every integer as sums and differences of *Nth* powers than just as sums of *Nth* powers. (Fuchs and Wright, Quart. J. Math. 1936).

4. It is known that

$$S((x-1)^N q(x)) \leq \frac{N^2}{2}$$

is possible.

Any improvement would be a major step.

5. If we demand that  $p$  has a zero of order  $N$  but not  $N+1$  at 1 then

$$S(p) = o((\log N)N^2)$$

is possible (Hua).

Any improvement would be interesting.

## E. Problem of Erdős and Szekeres (1958).

**1. Problem.** Minimize over  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}$

$$S \left( \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - x^{\alpha_i}) \right)$$

Call this minimum  $S_N^\pi$ .

**2. Conjecture.**  $S_N^\pi \gg N^k$  for any  $k$ .

**3.** From the P-T-E problem

$$S_N^\pi \geq 2N$$

## 4. Examples.

$N$	$\ f\ _1$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}$
1	2	$\{1\}$
2	4	$\{1, 2\}$
3	6	$\{1, 2, 3\}$
4	8	$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$
5	10	$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 7\}$
6	12	$\{1, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$
7	16	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11\}$
8	16	$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 13\}$
9	20	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13\}$
10	24	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17\}$
11	28	$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$
12	36	$\{1, \dots, 9, 11, 13, 17\}$
13	48	$\{1, \dots, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$

**5. Conjecture.** Except for  $N = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$  and 8

$$S_N^\pi \geq 2N + 2.$$

**6. Problem.** Show that

$$S((1 - x^{\alpha_1})(1 - x^{\alpha_2}) \dots (1 - x^{\alpha_7})) \neq 14$$

(or even make this algorithmic).

**7. Partial.**

$$S_N^\pi \ll N^{0(N^{1/2})} \quad (\text{Atkinson, Dobrowolski})$$

$$S_N^\pi \ll N^{0(\log N N^{1/3})} \quad (\text{Odlyzko})$$

(could equally well use  $\| \cdot \|_{L^2(D)}$ .)

**8. Proposition.** *Let  $\beta_i$  be the sequence formed by taking the set  $\{2^n - 2^m : n > m \geq 0\}$  in increasing order. Then for all  $N$*

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - z^{\beta_i}) \right\| \leq (32N) \sqrt{N/8}.$$

**Lemma.** *Let  $1 \leq \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots$  and let*

$$W_n(z) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - z^{\beta_j - \beta_i})$$

*then*

$$\|W_n(z)\| \leq n^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* We can explicitly evaluate the Vandermonde determinant

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_n &:= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z^{\beta_j} - z^{\beta_i}) \\
 &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & z^{\beta_1} & \dots & z^{(n-1)\beta_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & z^{\beta_n} & \dots & z^{(n-1)\beta_n} \end{vmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

and by Hadamard's inequality, since each entry of the matrix has modulus at most one in the unit disk,

$$\|D_n\| \leq n^{n/2}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (1 - z^{\beta_j - \beta_i}) \right\| \\
 &= \left\| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (z^{\beta_j} - z^{\beta_i}) \right\| \\
 &\leq n^{n/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

So this constructs an infinite product with all partial products growing at most like  $O(N^{c\sqrt{N}})$ .



**9. Theorem.** (*P.B. JNT*). If  $(p, \alpha_i) = 1$ ,  $p$  prime then

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - x^{\alpha_i}) \right\| \geq p^{N/(p-1)}$$

and this is best possible for  $p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13$  by

$$\prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ (p,n)=1}}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)$$

**10. Problem.** For each  $n$  write

$$(1 - x)(1 - x^2)(1 - x^4)(1 - x^5) \dots \\ (1 - x^{3n+1})(1 - x^{3n+2}) = \sum a_i x^i$$

then  $a_i \geq 0$  if and only if 3 divides  $i$ .

This would give an exact bound in the above theorem for  $p := 3$ . A similar result should hold for  $p := 5$ .