# THE 40 BILLIONTH BINARY DIGIT OF PI IS 1

DAVID BAILEY
PETER BORWEIN
SIMON PLOUFFE

Simon Fraser University Centre for Constructive and Experimental Mathematics

http://www.cecm.sfu.ca/~pborwein

"I AM ASHAMED TO TELL YOU TO HOW MANY FIGURES I CAR-RIED THESE COMPUTATIONS, HAV-ING NO OTHER BUSINESS AT THE TIME."

Isaac Newton 1665–1666

$$\pi = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4} + 24\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{5 \cdot 2^5} - \frac{1}{28 \cdot 2^7} - - \cdots\right)$$
$$= \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4} + 24\int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{x - x^2} \, dx$$

## Prehistory

"Also he made a molten sea of ten cubits from brim to brim, round in compass, and five cubits the height thereof; and a line of thirty cubits did compass it round about."

Old Testament, 1 Kings 7:23

Various Babylonian and Egyptian estimates include

$$\pi \doteq 3, 3 \frac{1}{7}, 3\frac{1}{8}, 3\frac{13}{81}, \dots$$

The First Age of Pi (Archimedes)

$$a_{n+1} := \frac{2a_n b_n}{a_n + b_n} \qquad a_0 := 2\sqrt{3}$$

$$b_{n+1} := \sqrt{a_{n+1} \cdot b_n} \qquad b_0 := 3$$

This computes the length of circumscribed and inscribed regular  $6 \cdot 2^n$ -gons.

- Archimedes, 3–6 Digits
- Ludolph van Ceulen, 34 Digits, (1540–1610)

## The Second Age of Pi (Calculus)

$$\arctan = x := x - x^3/3 + x^5/5 - \cdots$$

$$\pi = 16 \arctan(1/5) - 4 \arctan(1/239)$$

With various other Machin-like formulae

- 100 Digits Machin (1706)
- 205 Digits Dase (1844)
- 707 Digits (527 correct) Shanks (1853)
- 2037 Digits ENIAC (1949) (von Neuman et al.)
- 1-million Digits CDC 7600 (1973) (Guilloud and Bouyer)

#### The 3rd Age (higher order methods)

- 17-million Digits, Symbolics 3670 (1985), (Gosper)
- 29-million Digits, Cray-2 (1986), (Bailey)
- 1-4 Billion Digits, Home-brew, (Chudnovskys)
- 1-6 Billion Digits, various Hitachi, (Kanada)

# The Current State of our Knowledge

We know that ...

- $\pi$  is irrational. Lambert (1761)
- $\pi$  is transcendental. Lindemann (1882)
- $\pi$  is not Liouville. Mahler (1953)
- $\pi + \sqrt{2} \log 2$  transcendental. Baker(1966)
- Several billion digits behave regularly.
- 123456789 appears at the 523,551,502th digit.
- The billionth digit of  $\pi$  is 9.

#### The Current State of Our Ignorance

#### We do not know ...

- The decimal expansion of  $\pi$  contains infinitely many 2's (or anything else concerning normality).
- The continued fraction expansion is unbounded.
- $\pi + e$  is transcendental (or even irrational).
- $\pi/\log \pi$  is transcendental (or even irrational).
- whether  $\pi$  is computable as fast as multiplication.

#### Bill No. 246, 1897. State of Indiana.

"Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana: It has been found that the circular area is to the quadrant of the circumference, as the area of an equilateral rectangle is to the square on one side."

"In further proof of the value of the author's (E.J. Goodman, M.D.) proposed contribution to education, and offered as a gift to the State of Indiana, is the fact of his solutions of the trisection of the angle, duplication of the cube and quadrature of the circle having been already accepted as contributions to science by the American Mathematical Monthly, the leading exponent of mathematical thought in this country."

Passes three readings, Indiana House, 1897. (Via House Committee on Swamp Lands.)

Passes first reading, Indiana Senate, 1897. (Via Senate Committee on Temperance.)

"The case is perfectly simple. If we pass this bill which establishes a new and correct value of  $\pi$ , the author offers our state without cost the use of this discovery and its free publication in our school textbooks, while everyone else must pay him a royalty."

Professor C.A. Waldo of Purdue intercedes and the bill is tabled.

François Viète (1593)

$$\frac{2}{\pi} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \cdots}$$

John Wallis (1655)

$$\frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 8 \cdot \cdots}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdots}$$

William Brouncker (1620–1684)

$$\pi = \frac{4}{1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{9}{2 + \frac{25}{2 + \cdots}}}}$$

James Gregory (1671), Leibnitz (1674)

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \cdots$$

# How Big is Ten Billion

- 10 billion (12pt) digits stretch from Halifax to Vancouver three times.
- 10 billion (12pt) digits fill twelve football fields.
- 10 billion digits read off at 3 digits/second take a century.
- 10 billion is roughly the U.S. national debt in thousand dollar bills.
- 10 billion fill roughly 2400 Bibles.

#### High Order Iterative Algorithms

The arithmetic-geometric mean iteration:

$$a_0 := 1, \quad b_0 := \sqrt{2}$$

and

$$a_{n+1} := \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, \quad b_{n+1} := \sqrt{a_n b_n}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = \frac{\pi/2}{\int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^4}}}$$

In 1799, Gauss observed this purely numerically and wrote that this result

"will surely open a whole new field of analysis."

#### Equivalent Modular Parameterization

This is equivalent to the identities

$$\theta_3(q^2) = \frac{\theta_3(q) + \theta_4(q)}{2}$$

and

$$\theta_4(q^2) = \sqrt{\theta_3(q)\theta_4(q)}$$

where

$$\theta_3(q) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2}$$

$$\theta_4(q) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-q)^{n^2}$$

These are modular forms. So for example

$$\sqrt{s}\,\theta_3\left(e^{-\pi s}\right) = \theta_3\left(e^{-\pi/s}\right)$$

Quartic Algorithm. Let  $\alpha_0 := 6 - 4\sqrt{2}$ ,  $y_0 := \sqrt{2} - 1$ ,

$$y_{n+1} := \frac{1 - (1 - y_n^4)^{1/4}}{1 + (1 - y_n^4)^{1/4}}$$

$$\alpha_{n+1} := (1+y_{n+1})^4 \alpha_n - 2^{2n+3} y_{n+1} (1+y_{n+1} + y_{n+1}^2)$$

Then  $\alpha_n \to \frac{1}{\pi}$  quartically.

- Ten billion digits requires a couple of hundred **full precision** additions, multiplications, multiplications, divisions, and root extractions. Sixteen iterations give at least 10-billion digits and has been used in a number of the recent records.
- Multiplication is the key. Division and root extraction are Newton's method.

#### Ramanujan Type Series

Ramanujan's remarkable series for  $1/\pi$  include

$$\frac{1}{\pi} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9801} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n)!}{(n!)^4} \frac{[1103 + 26390n]}{(4*99)^{4n}}.$$

This series adds roughly eight digits per term.

Gosper in 1985 computed 17 million terms of the continued fraction for  $\pi$  using this.

Such series exist because various modular invariants are rational (which is more-or-less equivalent to identifying those imaginary quadratic fields of class number 1).

Chudnovskys' series with d = -163 is

$$\frac{1}{\pi} = 12 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (6n)!}{(n!)^3 (3n)!} \frac{13591409 + n545140134}{(640320^3)^{n+1/2}}.$$

Quadratic versions correspond to class number two imaginary quadratic fields. The largest example has d = -427 and

$$\frac{1}{\pi} = 12 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (6n)!}{(n!)^3 (3n)!} \frac{(A+nB)}{C^{n+1/2}}$$

where

$$A := 212175710912\sqrt{61} + 1657145277365$$

$$B := 13773980892672\sqrt{61} + 107578229802750$$

$$C := [5280(236674 + 30303\sqrt{61})]^3.$$

This series adds roughly 25 digits per term.

#### **Frauds**

Gregory's series for  $\pi$ , truncated at 500,000 terms gives to forty places

$$4\sum_{k=1}^{500,000} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2k-1}$$

 $= 3.14159\underline{0}6535897932\underline{40}4626433832\underline{6}9502884197.$ 

Only the underlined digits are wrong.

#### **Excessive Fraud**

**Sum** (correct to over 42 billion digits)

$$\left(\frac{1}{10^5} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{n^2}{10^{10}}}\right)^2 \doteq \pi.$$

**Conjecture** No one will ever know the  $10^{1000}$ th digit of  $\pi$  (or the  $10^{51}$ th).

But there is more to this story...

- We give algorithms for the computation of the d-th digit of certain transcendental numbers in various bases.
- These algorithms can be easily implemented (multiple precision arithmetic is not needed), require virtually no memory, and feature run times that scale nearly linearly with the order of the digit desired.
- They make it feasible to compute, for example, the billionth binary digit of  $\log(2)$  or  $\pi$  on a modest work station in a few hours run time.

These calculations rest on three observations.

- First, the d-th digit of 1/n is "easy" to compute.
- Secondly, this allows for the computation of certain polylogarithm and arctangent series.
- Thirdly, very special polylogarithmic ladders exist for certain numbers like  $\pi$ ,  $\pi^2$ ,  $\log(2)$  and  $\log^2(2)$ .

For example the critical identity for  $\pi$ :

$$\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^i} \left( \frac{4}{8i+1} - \frac{2}{8i+4} - \frac{1}{8i+5} - \frac{1}{8i+6} \right).$$

- It is widely believed that computing just the d-th digit of a number like  $\pi$  is really no easier than computing all of the first d digits.
- From a bit complexity point of view this may well be true, although it is probably very hard to prove.
- What we will show is that it is possible to compute just the d-th digit of many transcendentals in (essentially) linear time and logarithmic space.

• We are interested in computing in SC, polynomially logarithmic space and polynomial time.

Actually we are most interested in the space we will denote by SC\* of polynomially logarithmic space and (almost) linear time (here we want the time =  $O(d \log^{O(1)}(d))$ ).

- It is not known whether division is possible in SC, similarly it is not known whether base change is possible in SC.
- The situation is even worse in  $SC^*$ , where it is not even known whether multiplication is possible. If two numbers are in  $SC^*$  (in the same base) then their product computes in time =  $O(d^2 \log^{O(1)}(d))$  and is in SC but not obviously in  $SC^*$ .

• We will show that the class of numbers we can compute in  $SC^*$  in base b includes all numbers of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(k)b^{ck}}$$

where p is a polynomial with integer coefficients and c is a positive integer.

- Since addition is possible in SC\*, integer linear combinations of such numbers are also feasible (provided the base is fixed).
- The algorithm for the binary digits of  $\pi$ , which also shows that  $\pi$  is in SC\* in base 2, rests on the following remarkable identity:

Theorem.

$$\pi = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^i} \left( \frac{4}{8i+1} - \frac{2}{8i+4} - \frac{1}{8i+5} - \frac{1}{8i+6} \right).$$

*Proof.* This is equivalent to:

$$\pi = \int_0^{1/\sqrt{2}} \frac{4\sqrt{2} - 8x^3 - 4\sqrt{2}x^4 - 8x^5}{1 - x^8} dx.$$

which on substituting  $y := \sqrt{2}x$  becomes

$$\pi = \int_0^1 \frac{16 \, y - 16}{y^4 - 2 \, y^3 + 4 \, y - 4} \, dy \, .$$

The equivalence follows from the identity

$$\int_0^{1/\sqrt{2}} \frac{x^{k-1}}{1-x^8} dx = \int_0^{1/\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=0}^\infty x^{k-1+8i} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^k} \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{1}{16^i (8i+k)}$$

**Identities.** As usual, we define the m-th polylogarithm  $L_m$  by

$$L_m(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^i}{i^m}, \qquad |z| < 1.$$

The most basic identity is

$$-\log(1-2^{-n}) = L_1(1/2^n)$$

which shows that  $\log(1-2^{-n})$  is in SC\* base 2 for integer n.

• Less obvious are the identities

$$\pi^2 =$$

$$36L_2(1/2) - 36L_2(1/4) - 12L_2(1/8) + 6L_2(1/64)$$

$$\log^{2}(2) = 4L_{2}(1/2) - 6L_{2}(1/4) - 2L_{2}(1/8) + L_{2}(1/64).$$

These rewrite as

$$\frac{\pi^2}{36} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{2^i i^2}, \qquad [a_i] = [\overline{1, -3, -2, -3, 1, 0}]$$

$$\frac{\log^2(2)}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{2^i i^2}, \quad [b_i] = [2, -10, -7, -10, 2, -1].$$

- Thus we see that  $\pi^2$  and  $\log^2(2)$  are in SC\* in base 2.
- These are polylogarithmic ladders in the base 1/2 in the sense of Lewin.

We found them by searching for identities of this type using an integer relation algorithm. We have not found them directly in print. However they follow from known results pretty easily.

• There are several ladder identities involving  $L_3$ :

$$35/2\zeta(3) - \pi^2 \log(2) =$$

$$36L_3(1/2) - 18L_3(1/4) - 4L_3(1/8) + L_3(1/64),$$

$$2\log^{3}(2) - 7\zeta(3) =$$

$$-24L_{3}(1/2) + 18L_{3}(1/4) + 4L_{3}(1/8) - L_{3}(1/64),$$

$$10 \log^{3}(2) - 2\pi^{2} \log(2) =$$

$$-48L_{3}(1/2) + 54L_{3}(1/4) + 12L_{3}(1/8) - 3L_{3}(1/64).$$

• The favored algorithms for  $\pi$  of the last centuries involved some variant of Machin's 1706 formula:

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 4 \arctan \frac{1}{5} - \arctan \frac{1}{239}.$$

There are many related formula but to be useful to us all the arguments of the arctans have to be a power of a common base, and we have not discovered any such formula for  $\pi$ .

• One can however write

$$\frac{\pi}{2} = 2 \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \arctan \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}$$

This rewrites as

$$\sqrt{2}\pi = 4f(1/2) + f(1/8): \quad f(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i x^i}{2i+1}$$

and allows for the calculation of  $\sqrt{2}\pi$  in  $SC^*$ .

• Another two identities involving Catalan's constant G,  $\pi$  and log(2) are:

$$G - \frac{\pi \log(2)}{8} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_i}{2^{\lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor} i^2},$$

where

$$[c_i] = [\overline{1, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, -1, 0}]$$

and

$$\frac{5}{96}\pi^2 - \frac{\log^2(2)}{8} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{2^{\lfloor \frac{i+1}{2} \rfloor} i^2},$$

where

$$[d_i] = [\overline{1, 0, -1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1}]$$

• Thus  $8G - \pi \log(2)$  is also in SC\* in base 2, but it is open and interesting as to whether G is itself in SC\* in base 2.

#### The Algorithm.

•We wish to evaluate the n-th base b digit of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(k)b^{ck}}$$

by evaluating the fractional part of

$$(*). \qquad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{p(k)b^{ck}}$$

Here p is a simple polynomial and c is a fixed integer.

• Evaluating the fractional part of the second sum will evaluate the first sum to as many base b digits after the n-th place as the precision of the calculation.

• The keys are that the fractional part of (\*) is the same as the fractional part of

$$(**) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^{n-ck} \bmod p(k)}{p(k)}$$

and that  $b^{n-ck} \mod p(k)$  can be evaluated quickly.

• Fast evaluation of  $b^{n-ck} \mod p(k)$  is well understood; it rests on the simple fact that if

$$b^m \equiv r \bmod k$$

then

$$(b^m)^2 \equiv r^2 \bmod k.$$

The allows for fast exponentiation mod k by the so called binary method.

• (According to Knuth this trick goes back at least to 200 B.C.)

- One evaluates  $x^n$  rapidly by successive squaring and multiplication. This reduces the number of multiplications to less than  $2\log_2(n)$ .
- Note that this is entirely performed with positive integers that do not exceed  $c^2$  in size. Further, it is not subject to round-off error, provided adequate numeric precision is used.

- The key observation is that the the fractional part of  $b^m/k$  can be computed quickly.
- For example, in base 10. If we solve

$$10^n \equiv \alpha \bmod k$$

then

$$\frac{10^n}{k} - \frac{\alpha}{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$$

and so  $10^n/k$  and a/k have the same fractional parts.

- In particular  $\alpha/k$  gives the digits of 1/k staring after the n-th place.
- This allows for the calculation of the nth digit of  $10^{-j}/k$  from the computation
  of

$$10^{n-j} \equiv \alpha \bmod k.$$

This explains (\*\*) above.

• We are now in a position to evaluate the n-th "digit" (base b) of any series of the type

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{ck} p(k)}$$

where p is a polynomial with integer coefficients. We seek the fractional part of  $b^n S$  and so write

$$b^n S \mod 1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^{n-ck}}{p(k)} \mod 1 =$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/c\rfloor} \frac{b^{n-ck}}{p(k)} \mod 1 + \sum_{k=\lfloor n/c\rfloor+1}^{\infty} \frac{b^{n-ck}}{p(k)} \mod 1$$

• For each term of the first summation, the binary exponentiation scheme is used to evaluate the numerator mod p(k).

- The second summation, where powers of b are negative, may be evaluated as written using floating-point arithmetic. It is only necessary to compute a few terms of this summation.
- This is then converted to the desired base b.

#### Computations.

- Each of our computations employed quad precision floating-point arithmetic for division and sum mod 1 operations.
- Quad precision is supported on the Sun Sparc/20, the IBM RS6000/590, and the SGI Power Challenge (R8000), which were employed in these computations. Quad precision was also used for the exponentiation algorithm on the Sun system.
- On the IBM and the SGI systems, however, we were able to avoid the usage of explicit quad precision, at least in the exponentiation scheme, by exploiting a hardware feature common to these two systems, namely the 106-bit internal registers in the multiply-add operation. This saved

considerable time, because quad precision operations are significantly more expensive than 64-bit operations.

- Our results are given below. The first entry, for example, gives the  $10^6$ -th through  $10^6 + 13$ -th hexadecimal digits of  $\pi$  after the "decimal" point. We believe that all the digits shown below are correct.
- We did the calculations twice. The second calculation, performed for verification purposes, was similar to the first but shifted back one position (this changes all the arithmetic performed).

# Constant: Base: Position: Digits:

$\pi$	16	$10^6$ $10^8$ $10^{10}$	26C65E52CB4593 ECB840E21926EC 921C73C6838FB2
$\log(2)$	16	$10^{6}$ $10^{9}$	418489A9406EC9 B1EEF1252297EC
$\pi^2$	16	$10^6 \\ 10^9$	685554E1228505 437A2BA4A13591
$\log^2(2)$	16	$10^{6}$ $10^{9}$	2EC7EDB82B2DF7 8BA7C885CEFCE8
$\log(9/10)$	10	$10^6$ $10^9$ $10^{10}$	80174212190900 44066397959215 82528693381274

**Logs in base 2.** It is easy to compute, in base 2, the d-th binary digit of

$$\log(1 - 2^{-n}) = L_1(1/2^n).$$

So it is easy to compute  $\log m$  for any integer m that can be written as

$$m := \frac{(2^{a_1} - 1)(2^{a_2} - 1)\cdots(2^{a_h} - 1)}{(2^{b_1} - 1)(2^{b_2} - 1)\cdots(2^{b_j} - 1)}.$$

• In particular the *n*-th cyclotomic polynomial evaluated at 2 is so computable. The beginning of this list is:

$$\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 31, 43, 57\}$$
.

• Since

$$2^{18} - 1 = 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 19 \cdot 73,$$

and since 7,  $\sqrt{9}$  and 73 are all on the above list we can compute  $\log(19)$  in SC\* from

$$\log(19) = \log(2^{18} - 1) - \log(7) - \log(9) - \log(73).$$

• Note that  $2^{11} - 1 = 23 \cdot 89$  so either both  $\log(23)$  and  $\log(89)$  are in SC\* or neither is.

One can show that no formula of the type on the previous page exists for log(23).

#### Questions.

- The hardest part of our method is finding an appropriate base b expansion.
- We cannot, at present, compute decimal digits of  $\pi$  by our methods because we know of no identity like Theorem 1 in base 10. But it seems unlikely that this is inherently impossible.
- This raises the following obvious problem.
- 1] Find an algorithm for the n-th decimal digit of  $\pi$  in SC\*.

- It is not even clear that  $\pi$  is in SC in base 10 but it ought to be possible to show this.
- **2**] Show that  $\pi$  is in SC in all bases.
- Numbers that are not given by special values of polylogarithms aren't susceptible to our methods. Is this necessarily the case?
- 3] Are e and  $\sqrt{2}$  in SC (SC\*) in any base?
- Similarly the treatment of log is incomplete.
- 4] Is log(2) in SC\* in base 10?
- 5] Is log(23) in SC\* in base 2?

#### REFERENCES

- 1. M. Abramowitz & I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover, New York, NY, 1965.
- 2. A.V. Aho, J.E. Hopcroft, & J.D. Ullman, The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974.
- 3. D.H. Bailey, J. Borwein and R. Girgensohn, Experimental evaluation of Euler sums, Experimental Mathematics 3 (1994), 17–30.
- 4. J. Borwein, & P Borwein, Pi and the AGM
   A Study in Analytic Number Theory and
  Computational Complexity, Wiley, New York,
  NY, 1987.
- 5. J. Borwein & P. Borwein, On the complexity of familiar functions and numbers, SIAM Review **30** (1988), 589–601.

- 6. J. Borwein, P. Borwein & D.H. Bailey, Ramanujan, modular equations and approximations to pi, M.A.A. Monthly **96** (1989), 201–219.
- 7. R. Brent, The parallel evaluation of general arithmetic expressions, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. **21** (1974), 201–206.
- 8. S. Cook, A taxonomy of problems with fast parallel algorithms, Information and Control **64** (1985), 2–22.
- 9. R. Crandall, K. Dilcher, and C. Pomerance, A search for Wieferich and Wilson primes (preprint).
- 10. R. Crandall and J. Buhler, On the evaluation of Euler sums, Experimental Mathematics 3, (1995), 275–285.
- 11. D.E. Knuth, The Art of Computer Program-

- ming. Vol. 2: Seminumerical Algorithms, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
- 12. L. Lewin, Polylogarithms and Associated Functions, North Holland, New York, 1981.
- 13. L. Lewin, Structural Properties of Polylogarithms, Amer. Math. Soc., RI., 1991.
- 14. N. Nielsen, Der Eulersche Dilogarithmus, Halle, Leipzig, 1909.
- 15. A. Schönhage, Asymptotically fast algorithms for the numerical multiplication and division of polynomials with complex coefficients, in: EUROCAM (1982) Marseille, Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 144, 1982, pp. 3–15.
- 16. J. Todd, A problem on arc tangent relations, MAA Monthly **56** (1940), 517–528.

17. H.S. Wilf, Algorithms and Complexity, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1986.