

**A SHARP BERNSTEIN-TYPE  
INEQUALITY FOR  
EXPONENTIAL SUMS**

PETER BORWEIN AND TAMÁS ERDÉLYI

Simon Fraser University

We prove the “right” Bernstein-type inequality for exponential sums

$$E_n := \left\{ f : f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{\lambda_j t}, \quad a_j, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Schmidt proved that there is a constant  $c(n)$  so that

$$\|f'\|_{[a+\delta, b-\delta]} \leq c(n)\delta^{-1}\|f\|_{[a, b]}$$

for every  $p \in E_n$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}(b-a))$ .

Lorentz replaced  $c(n)$  by  $c(\alpha)n^{\alpha \log n}$  (Xu improved this to allow  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Lorentz speculated that  $c(n)$  should be  $cn$ .

We proved a weaker version of this with  $cn^3$  instead of  $cn$ .

Our main result is

$$\sup_{0 \neq f \in E_n} \frac{|f'(y)|}{\|f\|_{[a,b]}} \leq \frac{2n-1}{\min\{y-a, b-y\}}.$$

In this Bernstein-type inequality even the pointwise factor is sharp up to a multiplicative absolute constant; so

$$\frac{1}{e-1} \frac{n-1}{\min\{y-a, b-y\}} \leq \sup_{0 \neq f \in E_n} \frac{|f'(y)|}{\|f\|_{[a,b]}}$$

The critical inequality is

$$\sup_{0 \neq f \in \tilde{E}_{2n}} \frac{|f'(0)|}{\|f\|_{[-1,1]}} = 2n-1$$

where

$$\tilde{E}_{2n} := \left\{ f : f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j e^{\lambda_j t} + b_j e^{-\lambda_j t}) \right\}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the set of all polynomials of degree at most  $n$  with real coefficients.

**Proposition (Bernstein's Inequality).** *The inequality*

$$|p'(x)| \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \|p\|_{[-1,1]}, \quad -1 < x < 1$$

*holds for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_n$ .*

This implies by simple substitution and scaling

$$|f'(y)| \leq \frac{2n}{\min\{y-a, b-y\}} \|f\|_{[a,b]}, \quad y \in (a, b)$$

holds for the particular exponential sums

$$f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{jt}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This is a very special case ( $\lambda_j = j$ ) of our Theorem.

The following slight improvement of Bernstein's inequality may be found in Natanson.

**Proposition.** *The inequality*

$$|p'(0)| \leq (2n - 1) \|p\|_{[-1,1]}$$

*holds for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ .*

This gives

**Proposition.** *The inequality*

$$|p'(x)| \leq \frac{2n - 1}{1 - |x|} \|p\|_{[-1,1]}, \quad x \in (-1, 1)$$

*holds for every  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$ .*

## 2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

The notations

$$\|f\|_A := \sup_{x \in A} |f(x)|$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_p(A)} := \left( \int_A |f|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

are used throughout. Also

$$E_n := \left\{ f : f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{\lambda_j t}, \quad a_j, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

$$\tilde{E}_{2n} := \left\{ f : f(t) = a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j e^{\lambda_j t} + b_j e^{-\lambda_j t}), \quad a_j, b_j, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$E_n^* := \left\{ f : f(t) = \sum_{j=1}^l P_{k_j}(t) e^{\lambda_j t}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^l (k_j + 1) = n \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_k$  denotes the set of all polynomials of degree at most  $k$  with real coefficients.

### 3. NEW RESULTS

**Theorem A.** *We have*

$$\sup_{0 \neq f \in \tilde{E}_{2n}} \frac{|f'(0)|}{\|f\|_{[-1,1]}} = 2n - 1.$$

**Theorem B.** *The inequality*

$$\sup_{0 \neq f \in E_n} \frac{|f'(y)|}{\|f\|_{[a,b]}} \leq \frac{2n - 1}{\min\{y - a, b - y\}}.$$

*holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in (a, b)$ .*

**Theorem C.** *The inequality*

$$\frac{1}{e - 1} \frac{n - 1}{\min\{y - a, b - y\}} \leq \sup_{0 \neq f \in E_n} \frac{|f'(y)|}{\|f\|_{[a,b]}}$$

*holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $y \in (a, b)$ .*

**Theorem D.** *The inequality*

$$\|f\|_{[a+\delta, b-\delta]} \leq 2^{2/p^2} \left( \frac{n+1}{\delta} \right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{L_p[a, b]}$$

*holds for all  $f \in E_n$ ,  $p \in (0, 2]$ , and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}(b-a))$ .*

#### 4. CHEBYSHEV AND DESCARTES SYSTEMS

**Definition.** *The system  $(f_0, \dots, f_n)$  is said to be a Descartes system (or order complete Chebyshev system) on an interval  $I$  if each  $f_i \in C(I)$  and*

$$D \begin{pmatrix} f_{i_0} & f_{i_1} & \cdots & f_{i_m} \\ x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_m \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

*for any  $0 \leq i_0 < i_1 < \cdots < i_m \leq n$  and  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_m$  from  $I$ .*

This is a property of the basis. It implies that any finite dimensional subspace generated by some basis elements is a Chebyshev space on  $I$ .



**Lemma.** *The system*

$$(e^{\lambda_0 t}, e^{\lambda_1 t}, \dots), \quad \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots$$

*is a Descartes system on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . In particular, it is also a Chebyshev system on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ .*

*Proof.* See, for example, Karlin and Studden.  $\square$

The following lemma is crucial

**Lemma.** *Suppose  $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots$ . Then*

$$(\sinh \lambda_0 t, \sinh \lambda_1 t, \dots)$$

*is a Descartes system on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

## 5. THE PINKUS-SMITH COMPARISON THEOREM

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $(f_0, \dots, f_n)$  is a Descartes system on  $[a, b]$ . Suppose*

$$p = f_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f_{\lambda_i}, \quad q = f_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i f_{\gamma_i}$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_k$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_k$ ,

$$0 \leq \gamma_i \leq \lambda_i < \alpha, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$\alpha < \lambda_i \leq \gamma_i, \quad i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, k$$

with strict inequality for at least one index. If

$$p(x_i) = q(x_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

where  $x_i \in [a, b]$  are distinct, then

$$|p(x)| \leq |q(x)|$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$  with strict inequality for  $x \neq x_i$ .

## 6. CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIALS

Suppose

$$H_n := \text{span}\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$$

is a Chebyshev space on  $[a, b]$  and  $A$  is a compact subset of  $[a, b]$ .

We define the *generalized Chebyshev polynomial*

$$T_n := T_n\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n; A\}$$

for  $H_n$  on  $A$  by the following three properties:

$$T_n \in \text{span}\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n\}$$

there is an alternation set  $(x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n)$

$$|T_n(x_i)| = \|T_n\|_A, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

with  $\text{sign}(T_n(x_{i+1})) = -\text{sign}(T_n(x_i))$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

and

$$\|T_n\|_A = 1 \quad \text{with} \quad T_n(\max A) > 0.$$

The Chebyshev polynomials  $T_n$  for  $H_n$  on  $A$  encode much of the information of how the space  $H_n$  behaves with respect to the uniform norm on  $A$ . Many extremal problems are solved by the Chebyshev polynomials.

When  $(f_0, f_1, \dots)$  is a Markov system on  $[a, b]$  we can introduce the sequence  $(T_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  of *associated Chebyshev polynomials*

$$T_n := T_n\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n; [a, b]\}$$

for  $H_n$  on  $[a, b]$ . Then  $(T_0, T_1, \dots)$  is a Markov system on  $[a, b]$  again with the same span.

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $H_n := \text{span}\{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$  is a Chebyshev space on  $[a, b]$  with associated Chebyshev polynomial*

$$T_n := T_n\{f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n; [a, b]\}$$

*and each  $f_i$  is differentiable at  $b$ . Then*

$$\max\{|p'(b)| : p \in H_n, \|p\|_{[a,b]} \leq 1, p(b) = T_n(b)\}$$

*is attained by  $T_n$ .*

**Proposition (Lexicographic Property).** *Let  $(f_0, f_1, \dots)$  be a Descartes system on  $[a, b]$ . Suppose  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$  and  $\gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_n$  are nonnegative integers satisfying*

$$\lambda_i \leq \gamma_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

*Let*

$$T_n := T_n\{f_{\lambda_0}, f_{\lambda_1}, \dots, f_{\lambda_n}; [a, b]\}$$

*and*

$$S_n := T_n\{f_{\gamma_0}, f_{\gamma_0}, \dots, f_{\gamma_n}; [a, b]\}$$

*denote the associated Chebyshev polynomials. Let*

$$\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_n$$

*denote the zeros of  $T_n$  and  $S_n$ , respectively. Then*

$$\alpha_i \leq \beta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

*with strict inequality if  $\lambda_i \neq \gamma_i$  for at least one index  $i$ . (In other words, the zeros of  $T_n$  lie to the left of the zeros of  $S_n$ .)*

## 7. A COMPARISON THEOREM

The heart of the proof of Theorem is part e) of the following comparison theorem, which can be proved by a very subtle zero counting argument.

**Theorem.** *Let*

$$0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n, \quad 0 < \gamma_0 < \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_n.$$

*Suppose  $\lambda_i \leq \gamma_i$  for each  $i$ . Let*

$$H_n := \text{span}\{\sinh \lambda_0 t, \sinh \lambda_1 t, \dots, \sinh \lambda_n t\}$$

*and*

$$G_n := \text{span}\{\sinh \gamma_0 t, \sinh \gamma_1 t, \dots, \sinh \gamma_n t\}.$$

*Denote the associated Chebyshev polynomials for  $H_n$  and  $G_n$  on  $[0, 1]$  by*

$$T_{n,\lambda} := T_n\{\sinh \lambda_0 t, \sinh \lambda_1 t, \dots, \sinh \lambda_n t; [0, 1]\}$$

*and*

$$T_{n,\gamma} := T_n\{\sinh \gamma_0 t, \sinh \gamma_1 t, \dots, \sinh \gamma_n t; [0, 1]\}$$

respectively. The following statements hold.

**a]** *Let*

$$\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_n$$

*denote the zeros of  $T_{n,\lambda}$  and  $T_{n,\gamma}$ , respectively.*

*Then*

$$\alpha_i \leq \beta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

*(in other words, the zeros of  $T_{n,\lambda}$  lie to the left of the zeros of  $T_{n,\gamma}$ ).*

**b]** *The value*

$$\max\{|p'(0)| : p \in H_n, \|p\|_{[0,1]} \leq 1\}$$

*is attained uniquely by  $\pm T_{n,\lambda}$ .*

**c]** *We have*

$$T_{n,\lambda}(1) = T_{n,\gamma}(1) = 1.$$

**d]** *We have*

$$|T'_{n,\lambda}(0)| \geq |T'_{n,\gamma}(0)|.$$

e] *We have*

$$\max_{0 \neq p \in H_n} \frac{|p'(0)|}{\|p\|_{[0,1]}} \geq \max_{0 \neq q \in G_n} \frac{|q'(0)|}{\|q\|_{[0,1]}}.$$

## 8 PROOF OF THEOREM A

**Theorem A.** *We have*

$$\sup_{0 \neq f \in \tilde{E}_{2n}} \frac{|f'(0)|}{\|f\|_{[-1,1]}} = 2n - 1.$$

*Proof of Theorem A.* First we prove that

$$|f'(0)| \leq (2n - 1) \|f\|_{[-1,1]}$$

for every  $f \in \tilde{E}_{2n}$ . So let

$$f \in \text{span}\{1, e^{\pm\lambda_1 t}, e^{\pm\lambda_2 t}, \dots, e^{\pm\lambda_n t}\}$$



with some non-zero real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ , where, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n.$$

Let

$$g(t) := \frac{1}{2}(f(t) - f(-t)).$$

Observe that

$$g \in \text{span}\{\sinh \lambda_1 t, \sinh \lambda_2 t, \dots, \sinh \lambda_n t\}.$$

It is also straightforward that

$$g'(0) = f'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{[0,1]} \leq \|f\|_{-1,1}.$$

For a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$H_{n,\epsilon} := \text{span}\{\sinh \epsilon t, \sinh 2\epsilon t, \dots, \sinh n\epsilon t\}$$

and

$$K_{n,\epsilon} := \sup \{ |h'(0)| : h \in H_{n,\epsilon}, \|h\|_{[0,1]} = 1 \}.$$

By the comparison theorem it is sufficient to prove that  $\inf\{K_{n,\epsilon} : \epsilon > 0\} \leq 2n - 1$ . Observe that every  $h \in H_{n,\epsilon}$  is of the form

$$h(t) = e^{-n\epsilon t} P(e^{\epsilon t}), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}.$$

Therefore, using Bernstein, we obtain for every  $h \in H_{n,\epsilon}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(0)| &= |\epsilon P'(1) - n\epsilon P(1)| \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon(2n-1)}{1-e^{-\epsilon}} \|P\|_{[e^{-\epsilon}, e^\epsilon]} + n\epsilon \|P\|_{[e^{-\epsilon}, e^\epsilon]} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\epsilon(2n-1)}{1-e^{-\epsilon}} + n\epsilon \right) e^{n\epsilon} \|h\|_{[-1,1]}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$K_{n,\epsilon} \leq \left( \frac{\epsilon(2n-1)}{1-e^{-\epsilon}} + n\epsilon \right) e^{n\epsilon}.$$

So  $\inf\{K_{n,\epsilon} : \epsilon > 0\} \leq 2n-1$  as required.

Now we prove that

$$\sup_{0 \neq f \in \tilde{E}_{2n}} \frac{|f'(0)|}{\|f\|_{[-1,1]}} \geq 2n-1.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. We define

$$Q_{2n,\epsilon}(t) := e^{-n\epsilon t} T_{2n-1} \left( \frac{e^{\epsilon t}}{e^\epsilon - 1} - \frac{1}{e^\epsilon - 1} \right)$$

where  $T_{2n}$  denotes the Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $2n$  defined by

$$T_{2n-1}(x) = \cos(2n \arccos x), \quad x \in [-1, 1].$$

It is simple to check that  $Q_{2n,\epsilon} \in \tilde{E}_{2n}$ ,

$$\|Q_{2n,\epsilon}\|_{[-1,1]} \leq e^{n\epsilon}$$

and

$$|Q'_{2n,\epsilon}(0)| \geq 2n - 1 - n\epsilon.$$

The result follows by letting  $\epsilon > 0$  tend to 0.  $\square$

## The real Müntz's Theorem.

**Müntz's Theorem.** For  $\lambda_i \geq 1$

$$\text{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$$

is dense in  $C[0, 1]$  in the uniform norm if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \infty.$$

**Full Müntz in  $C[0, 1]$ . (B&E).** Suppose  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of distinct, positive real numbers. Then

$$\text{span}\{1, x^{\lambda_1}, x^{\lambda_2}, \dots\}$$

is dense in  $C[0, 1]$  if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2 + 1} = \infty.$$

- The  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  and  $L_\infty$  cases also hold.

## More Inequalities in Müntz Spaces.

**Newman's Inequality.** *Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of distinct nonnegative real numbers. Then*

$$\frac{\|xp'(x)\|_{[0,1]}}{\|p\|_{[0,1]}} \leq 9 \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j$$

*for every  $p$  in the linear span of*

$$\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}\}$$

- *This also holds in  $L_p$  where we must replace the constant 9 by 13 .*

For  $p \geq 1$  and  $P \in \text{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}\}$  with exponents  $\lambda_j$  greater than  $-1/p$ .

**Sharp Markov Inequality. (B&E)**

$$\|xP'(x)\|_{L_p[0,1]} \leq 13 \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j + 1/p) \right) \|P\|_{L_p[0,1]}$$

**Nikolskii-type Inequality. (B&E)**

$$\|y^{1/p}P(y)\|_{L_\infty[0,1]} \leq 13 \left( \sum_{j=0}^n (\lambda_j + 1/p) \right)^{1/p} \|P\|_{L_p[0,1]}$$

- Note the implication for Müntz's Theorem with exponents tending to  $-1/p$ .
- The constant should be 4?

**Newman on**  $[a, b]$ ,  $a > 0$ . **(B&E)**. Let  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Assume that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  so that

$$\lambda_i \geq \delta i$$

for each  $i$ . Then there exists a constant  $c(a, b, \delta)$  depending only on  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $\delta$  so that

$$\|P'\|_{[a,b]} \leq c(a, b, \delta) \left( \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda_j \right) \|P\|_{[a,b]}$$

for  $P$  in the span of  $\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots, x^{\lambda_n}\}$ .

**Müntz's Theorem Generalized.** *For an arbitrary compact set  $A \subset [0, \infty)$  with positive Lebesgue measure,*

$$\text{span}\{x^{\lambda_0}, x^{\lambda_1}, \dots\} \quad \lambda_i \geq 1$$

*is dense in  $C[A]$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/\lambda_i = \infty.$$

• Let

$$p(x) := \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^{\lambda_i}$$

where  $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$ . The most useful form of our Remez inequality states:



**Bounded Remez Inequality. (B&E).**

For every sequence  $(\lambda_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/\lambda_i < \infty$$

there is a constant  $c$  depending only on  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and  $s$  (and not on  $n$ ,  $\varrho$ , or  $A$ ) so that

$$\|p\|_{[0,\varrho]} \leq c\|p\|_A$$

for every Müntz polynomial  $p$ , as above, associated with  $(\lambda_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ , and for every set  $A \subset [\varrho, 1]$  of Lebesgue measure at least  $s > 0$ .